AMBIENT CONNECTIVITY

ACHIEVING AMBIENT CONNECTIVITY

- The ability to assume connectivity
  - All the time
  - Everywhere
  - Apps “Just Work”
NOT AMBIENT CONNECTIVITY

Stanford University Network Options

Welcome to Stanford. It looks like your computer is not registered for use on our network.

You have three options for gaining access to the network:

1. **Stanford University Network Registration** — If you have a Stanford University SUNetID, you should register your computer for regular network access. Begin registration by clicking Network Registration, below.

2. **Wireless Guest™** — If you have a wireless guest user ID, click Wireless Guest below. If you need an ID, talk to someone from Stanford. Most students, faculty and staff can create an ID for you at http://wirelessguest.stanford.edu.

3. **Residential Computing** — All students who live in on-campus housing (except Schwab) must register their computers with ResComp in order to gain full access to the Stanford University Network and the Internet.

Before you choose, make sure you understand Stanford's Computer and Network Usage Policy. A summary of the policy, as well as the full text, appears below.
BIRTH OF THE INTERNET


THEIR WORK BECAME KNOWN IN SEPTEMBER 1973 AT A NETWORKING CONFERENCE IN ENGLAND. CERF AND KAHN’S SEMINAL PAPER WAS PUBLISHED IN MAY 1974.


BBN BUILT THE FIRST INTERNET GATEWAY, NOW KNOWN AS A ROUTER, TO LINK NETWORKS TOGETHER. IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS, RESEARCHERS AT MIT AND USC-ISI, AMONG MANY OTHERS, PLAYED KEY ROLES IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SET OF INTERNET PROTOCOLS.
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ULTIMATELY, THOUSANDS IF NOT TENS TO HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS HAVE CONTRIBUTED THEIR EXPERTISE TO THE EVOLUTION OF THE INTERNET.

DEDICATED JULY 28, 2005
Network of Networks

- **Problem:** Enable unanticipated concepts
- **Challenge:** Middle has enumerated services
- **Solution:** Packets and Best Efforts
- **Idea:** End-To-End Argument
- **Prototype:** IP with TCP/UDP

**Future?**
- Improve extend?
- Rethink/Reboot?
History: Story 2

User View

- University LANs Interconnected
- Extend via Dialup
  - ISDN vs. Analog Modems
  - NATs
- Broadband!
  - BISDN?
  - Repurposed TV
- Internet morphed to reflect transport
History: Story 3

A New Understanding

- Economic Experiment
  - Remove the costs ($’s, effort etc) of connecting
  - Application Centric in theory but not really *End-to-End*

- The Internet as a Dynamic

- Failures
  - It can’t define relations
  - Layering is too limiting
  - DNS and IP address are problematic
THE INTERNET DYNAMIC

- Demand Creates Supply
- More capacity enables new apps (ex: The Web)
- VoIP starts to just work
  - If we had required VoIP we would’ve had to pay for path!
- Key Idea: Embrace opportunity, vs. requirements
A Different History: Telecom

- Starts with the Telegraph
  - Wires along railroad tracks
  - Telegraph like scribes
  - Facilities owned by providers
  - It’s in the image of railroads

- Assumptions
  - We choose among enumerated services
  - Can identify the value of each message,
  - Even talking telegraph used message units
  - FCC modeled on the ICC
## Concepts in Collision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telecom</th>
<th>The Internet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mired negotiating a path</td>
<td>Focus on the Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical thing</td>
<td>Abstract concept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is a thing</td>
<td>Does “Telecom” and more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is Telecom</td>
<td>Is a dynamic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financed by subscription</td>
<td>Just is, not a biz model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Carriage patch</td>
<td>Indifference ➔ Neutrality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enumerated services</td>
<td>Opportunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shock and Awe</td>
<td>Simplicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owns the Infrastructure!</td>
<td>Hostage to Telecom!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Owns the Infrastructure!*
MISUNDERSTANDINGS

- Internet only works where we have a path
  - Apps are decoupled from the path,
  - *In reality Broadband is just a convenient gully*
  - Connectivity **not** available almost everywhere!

- We confuse “Broadband” with The Internet
- Can’t shift paradigm if old one seems to work.
- Cyberspace??
SOME QUESTIONS

- How long does it take to ship a toy across the country?
  - Answer: A few seconds

- How can you compress an encyclopedia?
  - Answer: 10 digits if you use an ISBN number

- What is the capacity of a foot of copper wire?
  - Answer: If you can answer that you don’t get it
Ambient Connectivity

The ability to assume connectivity
- All the time; Everywhere
- But not a guarantee (best efforts, resilience)
- Wired, Wireless … bits don’t care.
- Not a network but rather facilitated networking

Policy Implications
- Infrastructure rather than billable paths
- Can build on it for healthcare, education, safety etc
ARCHITECTURAL CONSIDERATIONS

- Focus is on enabling applications not the network
- Need stable relationships between end points
- Same behavior locally and globally
- Mechanisms vs. Policy (Trust, Social issues)
- Reflect social and real ambiguities

*Opportunity not promises*
OTHER EXAMPLES & IDEAS

- Post Office
  - Stable routing system – addresses vs. names
  - User maps names (intent) to stable end point

- Road System to Facilitate Travel
  - Implemented by communities at scale
  - Route numbers make them a system

- Examples: P2P, Skype

- Decoupling rather than layering!
A New Architecture

- There is no net – about ideas and conventions
- Relationships in terms of stable identifiers
- Directories and discover outside network
- Choose your own identifier (GUIDs (Random #))
THE PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE

- Physical infrastructure facilities connectivity
- “Bit Commons” – normalize to bits
- Wired/Wireless – just mix and match
- Government’s role at scale
- Far simpler if we don’t need billable paths
  - Speech is not a consumable like electricity
  - Don’t have the physical problems of roads
- First **Square** Mile, nothing to “access”
INTERNET ➔ AMBIENT CONNECTIVITY: POLICY

- Harness expectation of fairness (Neutrality)
- Frame policy for opportunity not services
- Shift funding model to align incentives
  - Infrastructure funded as a whole (get $ back!)
INTERNET ➔ AMBIENT CONNECTIVITY: PROTOCOLS

- Start with Existing Protocols
  - With newly aligned incentives
  - Tweak protocols as needed
  - Fresh protocols as an “overlay” then “underlay”

- Fund research in new protocols policies
  - Of con artists and mistakes
ONWARD OUTWARD

- Educate solution builders
  - Fundamental infrastructure, web as a minor app
  - Building connected devices as in pacemakers
  - Using the commons rather than, for example, “700Mhz”
  - Wired Logic ➔ Decoupling relationships from wires

- Coming to grips with social topologies
  - Beyond Twitter, Facebook to real relationships
  - Leverage the familiar – geography ➔ topologies
  - Understanding new hazards and complexities of trust
FOR THE PHILOSOPHERS

- Operational abstractions
- Relationships are totally abstract
- Bits have no intrinsic meaning
  - @StanfordEE380 What is the meaning of life?
- Social policy and reality as interpretations.
- The Brain as an Endpoint.
  (http://rmf.vc/?N=RushHour1997)
- Hmm …